

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/781,522	O'ROURKE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit		
Kristie Shingles	2141		

All Participants:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Charles S. Fish, Atty.35,870.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006

Time: 11:45am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

Pending claims: 1,9-11,19 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Smith et al (USPN 5,860,082)I

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed the rejection of claims 1, 9-11, 19 and 20 over the prior art. Applicant explained that the Smith et al reference fails to teach the client network address translation feature of Applicant's claimed invention and the lack of motivation to combine the Smith et al reference with the Tari et al and Wang patents (argued in Applicant's remarks filed on 12/9/2005 (see Remarks, pp.7 and 8)). Examiner agreed with Applicant's comments and reasoning. Applicant agreed to allow Examiner to amend the Cross-Reference To Related Applications portion of Applicant's specification to indicate the status of related application 09/751,317 which is now abandoned. .